## Analysis of Feedback Form 2017-2018

Three questionnaires were used for feedback of the students in the college for the session 2017 - 2018. In the first questionnaire student's opinion about the evaluation of the teachers is taken. In the second questionnaire teacher's scholastic preparation and performance is observed and in the third form the infrastructure and resources are discussed. In this process randomly total 230 respondents (students) were taken. The feedback forms are explained in the tabular form as below.

## Questionnaire I Evaluation of Teachers (figures in \%)

| S. <br> No. | Parameter | Very <br> Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Knowledge base of the Teacher | 90 | 07 | 03 | Nil |
| 2 | Sincerity/Commitment of the <br> Teacher | 08 | 90 | 02 | Nil |
| 3 | Interest generated by Teacher | 07 | 72 | 21 | Nil |
| 4 | Accessibility of the Teacher in <br> and out of the class | 15 | 77 | 08 | Nil |
| 5 | Ability to design quizzes / tests <br> / examinations and projects to <br> evaluate students understanding <br> of the course | 09 | 83 | 08 | Nil |
| 6 | Overall rating | 25.8 | 65.8 | 8.4 | Nil |

In the above table it is seen that total six parameters are observed to rate the different attributes of the teachers. Four point scales is used for evaluation. In the table overall rating given by the students for the different six parameters are as follows:

| Very good | - | $25.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Good |  | $65.8 \%$ |
| Satisfactory - | $8.4 \%$ |  |
| Unsatisfactory - | Nil |  |

It is seen that the students are satisfied with the knowledge base of the teachers in the college where as they are less satisfied with the interest generation of the teacher.

Questionnaire II Teachers Scholastic Preparation and Performance

| Question | Options | Scale selected by the students (figures in \%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Option A | Option B | Option C | Option D |
| 1. Was the course easy or difficult to understand? | A. Easy <br> B. Manageable <br> C. Difficult <br> D. Very Difficult | 03 | 40 | 45 | 12 |
| 2. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? | A. 85 to $100 \%$ <br> B. 70 to $85 \%$ <br> C. 55 to $70 \%$ <br> D. less than $55 \%$ | 95 | 05 | Nil | Nil |
| 3. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course? | A. more than adequate <br> B. adequate <br> C. inadequate <br> D. very poor | Nil | 40 | 44 | 16 |
| 4. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings? | A. easily <br> B. with some difficulty <br> C. not available at all <br> D. with great difficulty | 03 | 73 | 24 | Nil |
| 5. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes? | A. thorough <br> B. satisfactorily <br> C. poorly <br> D. indifferently | 15 | 85 | Nil | Nil |
| 6. How well was the teacher able to communicate? | A. always effective <br> B. sometimes effective <br> C. just satisfactorily <br> D. generally ineffective | 89 | 11 | Nil | Nil |
| 7. How far the teacher encourages students' participation in class? | A. mostly yes B. sometimes C. not at all D. always | 91 | 05 | 04 | Nil |
| 8. If yes, which of the following methods were used? | A. encouraged to raise questions <br> B. get involved in discussion in class C. encourage discussion outside class D. did not encourage | 80 | 16 | 04 | Nil |
| 9. How helpful was the teacher in advising? | A. very helpful <br> B. sometimes helpful <br> C. not at all helpful <br> D. did not advise | 91 | 09 | Nil | Nil |
| 10. The teacher's approach can best be described as | A. always courteous <br> B. sometimes rude <br> C. always indifferent <br> D. cannot say | 82 | 04 | 02 | 12 |


| 11. Internal assessment <br> was | A. always fair <br> B. sometimes unfair <br> C. usually unfair <br> D. sometimes fair | 93 | 07 | Nil | Nil |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12. What effect do you <br> think the internal <br> assessment will have on <br> your course grade? | A. helps to improve <br> B. discouraging <br> C. no special effect <br> D. sometimes effective | 95 | Nil | Nil | 05 |
| 13. How often did the <br> teacher provide <br> feedback on your <br> performances? | A. regularly /in time <br> B. with helpful <br> comment <br> C. often / late <br> D. without any <br> comments | 85 | 11 | 02 | 02 |
| 14. Were your <br> assignments discussed <br> with you? | A. Yes, fully <br> B. Yes, partly <br> C. not discussed at all <br> D. sometimes discussed | 72 | 23 | Nil | 05 |

The above table shows that 45 percent students replied that their course is difficult to understand. 95 percent students said that 85 to 100 percent course is covered in the class. 44 percent student said that library material and facilities for the course is inadequate. 73 percent student says that they get the prescribed reading material with some difficulty. Their opinion about the teacher's preparation for the class is satisfactory ( 85 percent). 89 percent said Communication of the teachers is always effective. Encouragement of the teachers for the students is 91 percent. 80 percent students said that teachers encourage the students to raise questions. 91 percent said teachers are very helpful in advising. The teachers are always courteous in the opinion of the 82 percent students. As per as internal assessment is considered it was always fair said 93 percent. 95 percent think that internal assessment helps to improve their course grade. 85 percent have opinion that teachers provide regular feedback on their performances. 72 percent students fully agreed with their teachers that they always discuss their assignment with them.

| S. <br> No. | Parameter | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Not Sure | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cooperation of office staff | Nil | 81 | 05 | 14 | Nil |
| 2 | Cooperation of library Staff | 74 | 09 | 17 | Nil | Nil |
| 3 | Online education resources in <br> the Library | Nil | 60 | 16 | 12 | 12 |
| 4 | Internet facility in the Library | 37 | 18 | 18 | 27 | Nil |
| 5 | Availability of books \& reading <br> materials in the Library | 83 | 03 | 04 | 06 | 04 |
| 6 | Condition of equipment in the <br> laboratory | 81 | 06 | 02 | 08 | 03 |
| 7 | Canteen facility | 95 | 05 | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 8 | Common rooms | Nil | Nil | Nil | 07 | 93 |
| 9 | Cleanliness of washrooms | 79 | 10 | 02 | 04 | 05 |
| 10 | Cleanliness of classrooms | 74 | 14 | 01 | 07 | 04 |
| 11 | Green Campus | 83 | 11 | 04 | 02 | Nil |
| 12 | Clean drinking water | 88 | 09 | 03 | Nil | Nil |
| 13 | Functioning of NCC | 97 | 03 | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 14 | Facilities for disabled person | Nil | Nil | 06 | 03 | 91 |
| 15 | Power Supply | 73 | 12 | 03 | 12 | Nil |
| 16 | Grievance and redressel | 72 | 18 | 10 | Nil | Nil |
| 17 | Functioning of NSS | 91 | 05 | 04 | Nil | Nil |
| 18 | Sports and Co-curricular <br> activity | 97 | 03 | Nil | Nil | Nil |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In this questionnaire related to college infrastructure and resources total 18 questions are included with five point scale. Table shows that students are strongly agree and satisfied with the canteen facility, NCC and NSS unit of the college. They are also satisfied with the opportunities of sports and co-curricular activities. Whereas students are dissatisfied with the facilities of separate common rooms for boys and girls. They also disagree with the college building which is less accessible to disabled students.

